

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
1	Email_2015_0115_Richardson to Sincock.pdf	1/15/2015	Email - January 15, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago, C. Day, E. MacKnight, N. Rivera, M. Peck, K. Hensley and K. Schepel at EPA. Subject: RE: Hall Brother's Request for Reconsideration of the Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
2	Email_2015_0127_Sincock to Drago.pdf	1/27/2015	Email - January 27, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
3	EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft.docx	1/27/2015	Email - January 27, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration. Attachment: EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
4	Table5-7_redo.xlsx	1/27/2015	Email - January 27, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration. Attachment: Table5-7_redo.xlsx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
5	Email_2015_0128_Drago to Sincock.pdf	1/28/2015	Email - January 28, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
6	EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft hd.docx	1/28/2015	Email - January 28, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration. Attachment: EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft hd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
7	Email_2015_0128_Day to Drago.pdf	1/28/2015	Email - January 28, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago and J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Response Letter to Hall re: Indian Creek reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
8	Email_2015_0305_Sincock to Day.pdf	3/5/2015	Email - March 5, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, J. Curtin, H. Drago and E. MacKnight at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL: Draft Response Letter to Hall/TBA.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
9	Hall Letter to Capacasa_Reconsideration Request_122314.pdf	3/5/2015	Email - March 5, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, J. Curtin, H. Drago and E. MacKnight at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL: Draft Response Letter to Hall/TBA. Attachment: Hall Letter to Capacasa_Reconsideration Request_122314.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document released. See Second Release Line #90.
10	Letter_Telford to Capacasa_081214.pdf	3/5/2015	Email - March 5, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, J. Curtin, H. Drago and E. MacKnight at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL: Draft Response Letter to Hall/TBA. Attachment: Letter_Telford to Capacasa_081214.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	No	Document Released. See Second Release Line #89.
11	EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft_03.05.15.docx	3/5/2015	Email - March 5, 2015 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, J. Curtin, H. Drago and E. MacKnight at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL: Draft Response Letter to Hall/TBA. Attachment: EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft_03.05.15.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
12	Email_2015_0325_Curtin to Sincock.pdf	3/25/2015	Email - March 25, 2015 from J. Curtin at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, L. Schroer, C. Lewicki and M. Martinez at EPA. Subject: Draft R3 response to Hall's latest Indian Creek TMDL reconsideration request.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
13	EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft_03 05 15_jc.docx	3/25/2015	Email - March 25, 2015 from J. Curtin at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, L. Schroer, C. Lewicki and M. Martinez at EPA. Subject: Draft R3 response to Hall's latest Indian Creek TMDL reconsideration request. Attachment: EPA Response to Hall Request for Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_draft_03 05 15_jc.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
14	Email_2015_0327_Curtin to Drago.pdf	3/27/2015	Email - March 27, 2015 from J. Curtin at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincok, E. MacKnight, C. Day, C. Lewicki, M. Martinez and L. Schroer at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft R3 response to Hall's latest Indian Creek TMDL reconsideration request.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
15	Email_2015_0826_Day to Drago.pdf	8/26/2015	Email - August 26, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincok and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Watershed TMDL.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
16	Email_2015_0903_Richardson to MacKnight.pdf	9/3/2015	Email - September 3, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, C. Day, J. Sincok and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek TMDL - call with Steve Hann (Lower Salford).	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
17	PPT Nutrient Assessment Protocol WRAC 02-18-2015.pdf	9/3/2015	Email - September 3, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, C. Day, J. Sincok and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek TMDL - call with Steve Hann (Lower Salford). <u>Attachment</u> : PPT Nutrient Assessment Protocol WRAC 02-18-2015.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document Released. See Second Release Line #100.
18	Email_2015_1103_Sincok to Drago.pdf	11/3/2015	Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincok to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
19	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	11/3/2015	Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincok to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment. <u>Attachment</u> : Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #2 of main index.
20	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	11/3/2015	Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincok to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment. <u>Attachment</u> : Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #14 & #16 of main index.
21	Email_2015_1104_Sincok to Day.pdf	11/4/2015	Email - November 4, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, M. Peck, and N. Rivera at EPA. Subject: RE: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
22	Email_2015_1104_Richardson to Sincok.pdf	11/4/2015	Email - November 4, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, M. Peck, and N. Rivera at EPA. Subject: RE: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
23	CooksWissahickonDielDOComparisonApril2013Summary.xlsx	11/4/2015	Email - November 4, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, M. Peck, and N. Rivera at EPA. Subject: RE: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment. <u>Attachment</u> : CooksWissahickonDielDOComparisonApril2013Summary.xlsx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document Released. See Second Release Line #103.
24	Email_2015_1105_Richardson to MacKnight.pdf	11/5/2015	Email - November 5, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, H. Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincok, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE: comments on PA assessment methodology.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
25	EPA comments on PA 2016 assessment methodologies.docx	11/5/2015	Email - November 5, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, H. Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincok, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE: comments on PA assessment methodology. <u>Attachment</u> : EPA comments on PA 2016 assessment methodologies.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
26	Email_2015_1105_Day to Richardson.pdf	11/5/2015	Email - November 5, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, A. Toy, J. Sincok, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE: comments on PA assessment methodology.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
27	Email_2015_1110_MacKnight to Richardson.pdf	11/10/2015	Email - November 10, 2015 from E. MacKnight at EPA to W. Richardson, H. Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincok, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE: comments on PA assessment methodology.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
28	EPA comments on PA 2016 assessment methodologies esm.docx	11/10/2015	Email - November 10, 2015 from E. MacKnight at EPA to W. Richardson, H. Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincok, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE: comments on PA assessment methodology. <u>Attachment:</u> EPA comments on PA 2016 assessment methodologies esm.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
29	Email_2015_1112_Richardson to MacKnight.pdf	11/12/2015	Email - November 12, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, H. Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincok, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE: comments on PA assessment methodology.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
30	EPA comments on PA 2016 assessment methodologies esm BR V2.docx	11/12/2015	Email - November 12, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to E. MacKnight, H. Drago, A. Toy, C. Day, J. Sincok, N. Rivera and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: RE: comments on PA assessment methodology. <u>Attachment:</u> EPA comments on PA 2016 assessment methodologies esm BR V2.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
31	Email_2015_1112_Sincok to Richardson.pdf	11/12/2015	Email - November 12, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
32	Email_2015_1125_Sincok to Drago.pdf	11/25/2015	Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
33	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft.docx	11/25/2015	Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
34	Attachment A - Chronology.docx	11/25/2015	Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment A - Chronology.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
35	Email_2015_1103_Sincok to Drago.pdf	11/3/2015	Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincok to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment.	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	This email and attachments are duplicates of Line #18-20 above.
36	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	11/3/2015	Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincok to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment. <u>Attachment:</u> Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 4	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #2 of main index.
37	Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	11/3/2015	Email - November 25, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Email - November 3, 2015 from J. Sincok to H. Drago, E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and M. Peck at EPA. Subject: PADEP Nutrient Impact Assessment Methodology - Draft out for public comment. <u>Attachment:</u> Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 5	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #14 & #16 of main index.
38	Email_2015_1127_Richardson to Sincok.pdf	11/27/2015	Email - November 27, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincok, H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
39	Email_2015_1130_Sincok to Day.pdf	11/30/2015	Email - November 30, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
40	Email_2015_1201_Hensley to Sincok.pdf	12/1/2015	Email - December 1, 2015 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek land use maps.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
41	IndianCreek_LandUseMaps_120115.pdf	12/1/2015	Email - December 1, 2015 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek land use maps. <u>Attachment:</u> IndianCreek_LandUseMaps_120115.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
42	Email_2015_1202_Drago to Sincok.pdf	12/2/2015	Email - December 2, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, K. Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
43	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_drafthd.docx	12/2/2015	Email - December 2, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, K. Hensley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_drafthd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
44	Email_2015_1204_Sincok to Drago.pdf	12/4/2015	Email - December 4, 2015 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, W. Richardson, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek.	Email Correspondence		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
45	Email_2015_1204_Richardson to Drago.pdf	12/4/2015	Email - December 4, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincok, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
46	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_drafthd BR.docx	12/4/2015	Email - December 4, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincok, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_drafthd BR.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
47	Email_2015_1207_Day to Richardson.pdf	12/7/2015	Email - December 7, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to W. Richardson, H. Drago, J. Sincok, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
48	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_drafthd BR-CAD.docx	12/7/2015	Email - December 7, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to W. Richardson, H. Drago, J. Sincok, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_drafthd BR-CAD.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
49	Email_2015_1211_Richardson to Drago.pdf	12/11/2015	Email - December 11, 2015 from W. Richardson at EPA to H. Drago and J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: PA nutrient cause.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
50	Email_2015_1214_Drago to Day.pdf	12/14/2015	Email - December 14, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, W. Richardson, J. Sincok, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
51	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_drafthd BR-CAD hd.docx	12/14/2015	Email - December 14, 2015 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, W. Richardson, J. Sincok, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_drafthd BR-CAD hd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
52	Email_2015_1214_Day to Drago.pdf	12/14/2015	Email - December 14, 2015 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago, W. Richardson, J. Sincok, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Draft Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
53	Email_2016_0201_Hensley to Sincok.pdf	2/1/2016	Email - February 1, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: More maps - Indian Creek.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
54	ReferenceWatershedLocations.jpg	2/1/2016	Email - February 1, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: More maps - Indian Creek. <u>Attachment:</u> ReferenceWatershedLocations.jpg	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
55	IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsideration_gauges.jpg	2/1/2016	Email - February 1, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: More maps - Indian Creek. <u>Attachment:</u> IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsideration_gauges.jpg	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
56	Email_2016_0205_Sincok to Hensley.pdf	2/5/2016	Email - February 5, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek map with gauges.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
57	679276_Quakertown Jul to Sep 2014.pdf	2/5/2016	Email - February 5, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek map with gauges. <u>Attachment:</u> 679276_Quakertown Jul to Sep 2014.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #49 of main index.
58	679274_Souderton Jul to Sep 2014.pdf	2/5/2016	Email - February 5, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek map with gauges. <u>Attachment:</u> 679274_Souderton Jul to Sep 2014.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #47 of main index.
59	IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsideration_gauges.jpg	2/5/2016	Email - February 5, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek map with gauges. <u>Attachment:</u> IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsideration_gauges.jpg	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #7 of GIS index.
60	Email_2016_0205_Richardson to Sincok.pdf	2/5/2016	Email - February 5, 2016 from B. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: QA/QC for Indian Creek reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
61	Email_2016_0208_Sincok to Drago.pdf	2/8/2016	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
62	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2.docx	2/8/2016	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
63	Attachment A - Chronology.docx	2/8/2016	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment A - Chronology.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
64	Attachment B - Monitoring Data.docx	2/8/2016	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment B - Monitoring Data.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
65	Attachment C - Cover Page - PADEP Draft Nutrient Impact Assessment Protocol.docx	2/8/2016	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment C - Cover Page - PADEP Draft Nutrient Impact Assessment Protocol.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 4	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
66	Attachment C - Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	2/8/2016	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment C - Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Method_Draft_2015.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 5	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #2 of main index.
67	Attachment D - Cover Page - PADEP NIA Protocol Technical Documentation.docx	2/8/2016	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment D - Cover Page - PADEP NIA Protocol Technical Documentation.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 6	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
68	Attachment D - Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	2/8/2016	Email - February 8, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment D - Nutrient_Impact_Assessment_Technical_August_2015_Final.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 7	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #14 & #16 of main index.
69	Email_2016_0209_Hensley to Sincok.pdf	2/9/2016	Email - February 9, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek map with gauges.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
70	IndianCreek_nutrient_largescale_allgages.jpg	2/9/2016	Email - February 9, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek map with gauges. <u>Attachment:</u> IndianCreek_nutrient_largescale_allgages.jpg	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #5 of GIS index.
71	Email_2016_0209_Drago to Sincok.pdf	2/9/2016	Email - February 9, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
72	Attachment D - Cover Page - PADEP NIA Protocol Technical Documentationhd.docx	2/9/2016	Email - February 9, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment D - Cover Page - PADEP NIA Protocol Technical Documentationhd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
73	Attachment A - Chronologyhd.docx	2/9/2016	Email - February 9, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment A - Chronologyhd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
74	Attachment B - Monitoring Data hd.docx	2/9/2016	Email - February 9, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment B - Monitoring Data hd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
75	Email_2016_0210_Sincok to Drago.pdf	2/10/2016	Email - February 10, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
76	Email_2016_0210_Day to Drago.pdf	2/10/2016	Email - February 10, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago and J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
77	Email_2016_0210_Sincok to Day.pdf	2/10/2016	Email - February 10, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight and K. Hensley at EPA . Subject: FW: Indian Creek map with gauges.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
78	IndianCreek_nutrient_largescale_allgages.jpg	2/10/2016	Email - February 10, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight and K. Hensley at EPA . Subject: FW: Indian Creek map with gauges. <u>Attachment:</u> IndianCreek_nutrient_largescale_allgages.jpg	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #5 of GIS index.
79	Email_2016_0210_Day to Sincok.pdf	2/10/2016	Email - February 10, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincok, H. Drago, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
80	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2-CAD.docx	2/10/2016	Email - February 10, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincok, H. Drago, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2-CAD.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
81	Email_2016_0211_Drago to Day.pdf	2/11/2016	Email - February 11, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincok, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
82	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd.docx	2/11/2016	Email - February 11, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincok, K. Hensley, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
83	Email_2016_0211_Richardson to Drago.pdf	2/11/2016	Email - February 11, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to H. Drago, C. Day, J. Sincok, K. Hensley and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
84	Email_2016_0218_Drago to Day.pdf	2/18/2016	Email - February 18, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincok, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
85	Email_2016_0219_Drago to Sincok.pdf	2/19/2016	Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok, C. Day, E. MacKnight and W. Richardson at EPA . Subject: Re: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration v2.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
86	Email_2016_0219_Drago to Curtin.pdf	2/19/2016	Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
87	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd.docx	2/19/2016	Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
88	Letter to J Capacasa and L McDonnell RE Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	2/19/2016	Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Letter to J Capacasa and L McDonnell RE Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	No	Document Released. See Second Release Line #94.
89	Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	2/19/2016	Email - February 19, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	No	Document Released. See Second Release Line #95.
90	Email_2016_0219_Curtin to Drago.pdf	2/19/2016	Email - February 19, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to H. Drago, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
91	Email_2016_0308_Drago to Curtin.pdf	3/8/2016	Email - March 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
92	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd.docx	3/8/2016	Email - March 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
93	Letter to J Capacasa and L McDonnell RE Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	3/8/2016	Email - March 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Letter to J Capacasa and L McDonnell RE Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	No	Document Released. See Second Release Line #94.
94	Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	3/8/2016	Email - March 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, J. Sincok, C. Day and E. MacKnight at EPA . Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Exhibits 1-3 - Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for TMDL Reconsideration - 12-23-14.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	No	Document Released. See Second Release Line #95.

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
95	Email_2016_0315_Curtin to Day.pdf	3/15/2016	Email - March 15, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, J. Havard, C. Lewicki, and L. Schroer at EPA . Subject: Draft R3 Denial of Hall Request to Reconsider Indian Creek TMDL.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
96	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc.docx	3/15/2016	Email - March 15, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, J. Havard, C. Lewicki, and L. Schroer at EPA . Subject: Draft R3 Denial of Hall Request to Reconsider Indian Creek TMDL. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
97	Email_2016_0315_Sincock to Day.pdf	3/15/2016	Email - March 15, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day and H. Drago at EPA . Subject: RE: Draft R3 Denial of Hall Request to Reconsider Indian Creek TMDL.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
98	Email_2016_0317_Sincock to Drago.pdf	3/17/2016	Email - March 17, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Corrected Table 5-7 for reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
99	Corrected Table 5-7.docx	3/17/2016	Email - March 17, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA . Subject: Indian Creek Corrected Table 5-7 for reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Corrected Table 5-7.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
100	Email_2016_0321_Sincock to Hensley.pdf	3/21/2016	Email - March 21, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions?	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
101	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc.docx	3/21/2016	Email - March 21, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Hensley and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions? <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
102	Email_2016_0321_Drago to Sincock.pdf	3/21/2016	Email - March 21, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
103	Email_2016_0324_Sincock to Drago.pdf	3/24/2016	Email - March 24, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and K. Hensley at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions?	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
104	IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsideration.jpg	3/24/2016	Email - March 24, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and K. Hensley at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions? <u>Attachment</u> : IndianCreek_nutrient_reconsideration.jpg	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #6 of GIS index.
105	Email_2016_0324_Drago to Sincock.pdf	3/24/2016	Email - March 24, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock and K. Hensley at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration figure revisions?	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
106	Email_2016_0404_Sincock to Drago.pdf	4/4/2016	Email - April 4, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
107	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3.docx	4/4/2016	Email - April 4, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
108	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc hd_mjs.docx	4/4/2016	Email - April 4, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc hd_mjs.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
109	Email_2016_0404_Drago to Sincok.pdf	4/4/2016	Email - April 4, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
110	Email_2016_0411_Day to Drago.pdf	4/11/2016	Email - April 11, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to H. Drago and J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
111	Email_2016_0428_a_Drago to Curtin.pdf	4/28/2016	Email - April 28, 2016 (a) from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, M. Martinez, J. Sincok, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
112	Email_2016_0428_b_Drago to Curtin.pdf	4/28/2016	Email - April 28, 2016 (b) from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, M. Martinez, J. Sincok, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
113	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3.docx	4/28/2016	Email - April 28, 2016 (b) from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, M. Martinez, J. Sincok, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
114	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc hd_mjs.docx	4/28/2016	Email - April 28, 2016 (b) from H. Drago at EPA to J. Curtin, M. Martinez, J. Sincok, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v2hd cd_jc hd_mjs.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
115	Email_2016_0428_Curtin to Drago.pdf	4/28/2016	Email - April 28, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to H. Drago, M. Martinez, J. Sincok, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 3.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
116	Email_2016_0502_Curtin to Day.pdf	5/2/2016	Email - May 2, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincok, H. Drago, J. Havard, C. Lewicki, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
117	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3_JC.docx	5/2/2016	Email - May 2, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, J. Sincok, H. Drago, J. Havard, C. Lewicki, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3_JC.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
118	Email_2016_0517_Sincok to Saxe.pdf	5/17/2016	Email - May 17, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to J. Saxe at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
119	Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3_JC.DOCX	5/17/2016	Email - May 17, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to J. Saxe at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek TMDL Second Reconsideration_draft v3_JC.DOCX	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
120	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and hd .docx	5/17/2016	Email - May 17, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to J. Saxe at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and hd .docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
121	Email_2016_0520_Saxe to Sincok.pdf	5/20/2016	Email - May 20, 2016 from J. Saxe at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
122	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and hd and JPS.docx	5/20/2016	Email - May 20, 2016 from J. Saxe at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and hd and JPS.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
123	Email_2016_0524_Day to Sincock.pdf	5/24/2016	Email - May 24, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago and J. Saxe at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
124	Email_2016_0527_Sincock to Day.pdf	5/27/2016	Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E. MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
125	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716.docx	5/27/2016	Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E. MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
126	Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	5/27/2016	Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E. MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16. <u>Attachment</u> : Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
127	Attachment B - Monitoring Data_052716.docx	5/27/2016	Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E. MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16. <u>Attachment</u> : Attachment B - Monitoring Data_052716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
128	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and hd and JPS_mjs.docx	5/27/2016	Email - May 27, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, C. Lewicki, E. MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v4_JC and hd and JPS_mjs.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 4	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
129	Email_2016_0531_Drago to Sincock.pdf	5/31/2016	Email - May 31, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
130	Email_2016_0531_Lewicki to Sincock.pdf	5/31/2016	Email - May 31, 2016 from C. Lewicki at EPA to J. Sincock, C. Day, E. MacKnight, J. Curtin, H. Drago, J. Saxe, J. Havard, M. Martinez and R. Conde at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
131	Email_2016_0531_Sincock to Lewicki.pdf	5/31/2016	Email - May 31, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Lewicki at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
132	Email_2016_0531_Day to Sincock.pdf	5/31/2016	Email - May 31, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock, H. Drago and J. Saxe at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
133	Email_2016_0607_Lewicki to Saxe.pdf	6/7/2016	Email - June 7, 2016 from C. Lewicki at EPA to J. Saxe, H. Drago, J. Havard, M. Martinez, R. Conde, J. Sincock, C. Day, E. MacKnight, and J. Curtin at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
134	Email_2016_0607_Saxe to Lewicki.pdf	6/7/2016	Email - June 7, 2016 from J. Saxe at EPA to C. Lewicki and J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
135	Email_2016_0607_Day to Saxe.pdf	6/7/2016	Email - June 7, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Saxe, J. Sincock, H. Drago, and J. Curtin at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
136	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-CAD.docx	6/7/2016	Email - June 7, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Saxe, J. Sincok, H. Drago, and J. Curtin at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-CAD.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
137	Email_2016_0607_Drago to Sincok.pdf	6/7/2016	Email - June 7, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
138	Email_2016_0607_Curtin to Day.pdf	6/7/2016	Email - June 7, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, J. Saxe, J. Sincok, H. Drago, J. Havard, and C. Lewicki at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
139	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-CAD.docx	6/7/2016	Email - June 7, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Day, J. Saxe, J. Sincok, H. Drago, J. Havard, and C. Lewicki at EPA. Subject: FW: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration - Review and Comments requested by COB 6/10/16. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-CAD.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
140	Email_2016_0609_Curtin to Lewicki.pdf	6/9/2016	Email - June 9, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Lewicki, M. Martinez, J. Havard, C. Day, J. Saxe, J. Sincok and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek reconsideration - Curtin comments/edits.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
141	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-JC comments 6.9.16.docx	6/9/2016	Email - June 9, 2016 from J. Curtin at EPA to C. Lewicki, M. Martinez, J. Havard, C. Day, J. Saxe, J. Sincok and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek reconsideration - Curtin comments/edits. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v5_052716-JC comments 6.9.16.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
142	Email_2016_0614_a_Lewicki to Thomas.pdf	6/14/2016	Email - June 14, 2016 (a) from C. Lewicki at EPA to D. Thomas, C. Day, J. Saxe, and J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: Lewicki, Chris has shared 'Indian Creek 2nd Reconsideration052716-WB OGC'.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
143	Indian Creek 2nd Reconsideration052716-WB OGC.docx	6/14/2016	Email - June 14, 2016 (a) from C. Lewicki at EPA to D. Thomas, C. Day, J. Saxe, and J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: Lewicki, Chris has shared 'Indian Creek 2nd Reconsideration052716-WB OGC'. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek 2nd Reconsideration052716-WB OGC.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
144	Email_2016_0614_b_Lewicki to Thomas.pdf	6/14/2016	Email - June 14, 2016 (b) from C. Lewicki at EPA to D. Thomas, J. Saxe, H. Drago, J. Havard, M. Martinez, J. Sincok, C. Day, E. MacKnight, and J. Curtin at EPA. Subject: RE: For Jennie and Dana: Watershed Branch's and OGC comments on Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
145	Email_2016_0615_Lewicki to Saxe.pdf	6/15/2016	Email - June 15, 2016 from C. Lewicki at EPA to J. Saxe, H. Drago, J. Havard, M. Martinez, J. Sincok, C. Day, E. MacKnight, and J. Curtin at EPA. Subject: OST is fine with R3's Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
146	Email_2016_0630_Sincok to Hensley.pdf	6/30/2016	Email - June 30, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to K. Hensley, C. Day, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Figure updates for Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
147	Email_2016_0705_Hensley to Sincok.pdf	7/5/2016	Email - July 5, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Figure updates for Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
148	Halls_NutrientSampling_Maps_07-05.pptx	7/5/2016	Email - July 5, 2016 from K. Hensley at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Figure updates for Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Halls_NutrientSampling_Maps_07-05.pptx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Document Released. See Initial Release Line #2 of GIS index.
149	Email_2016_0707_Day to Sincok.pdf	7/7/2016	Email - July 7, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
150	Email_2016_0707_Sincock to Day.pdf	7/7/2016	Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
151	Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	7/7/2016	Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
152	Attachment C - Monitoring Data_070716.docx	7/7/2016	Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment C - Monitoring Data_070716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
153	Attachment B - Indian Creek Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	7/7/2016	Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment B - Indian Creek Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
154	Indian Creek 2nd Reconsideration052716-WB OGC_mjs.docx	7/7/2016	Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek 2nd Reconsideration052716-WB OGC_mjs.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 4	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
155	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716.docx	7/7/2016	Email - July 7, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to C. Day, H. Drago, E. MacKnight, and W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 5	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
156	Email_2016_0708_Sincock to Bentley.pdf	7/8/2016	Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
157	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716.docx	7/8/2016	Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
158	Attachment C - Monitoring Data_070716.docx	7/8/2016	Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment C - Monitoring Data_070716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
159	Attachment B - Indian Creek Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	7/8/2016	Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment B - Indian Creek Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
160	Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	7/8/2016	Email - July 8, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 4	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
161	Email_2016_0711_Richardson to Sincock.pdf	7/11/2016	Email - July 11, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, M. Barath, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
162	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716 BR.docx	7/11/2016	Email - July 11, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, M. Barath, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716 BR.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
163	Email_2016_0711_a_Barath to Sincock.pdf	7/11/2016	Email - July 11, 2016 (a) from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincock, K. Bentley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
164	Email_2016_0711_b_Barath to Sincok.pdf	7/11/2016	Email - July 11, 2016 (b) from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincok, K. Bentley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
165	Email_2016_0711_c_Barath to Sincok.pdf	7/11/2016	Email - July 11, 2016 (c) from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincok, K. Bentley, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
166	Email_2016_0712_Bentley to Sincok.pdf	7/12/2016	Email - July 12, 2016 from K. Bentley at EPA to J. Sincok, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
167	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716	7/12/2016	Email - July 12, 2016 from K. Bentley at EPA to J. Sincok, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716 BRKB.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
168	Helpful Citations for Indian Creek Reconsideration.docx	7/12/2016	Email - July 12, 2016 from K. Bentley at EPA to J. Sincok, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration. <u>Attachment:</u> Helpful Citations for Indian Creek Reconsideration.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
169	Email_2016_0713_Barath to Sincok.pdf	7/13/2016	Email - July 13, 2016 from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: Indian creek additional references.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
170	Email_2016_0714_Barath to Sincok.pdf	7/14/2016	Email - July 14, 2016 from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: more references.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
171	Email_2016_0728_Sincok to Bentley.pdf	7/28/2016	Email - July 28, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, H. Drago and C. Day at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
172	Email_2016_0728_Day to Sincok.pdf	7/28/2016	Email - July 28, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Second Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
173	Email_2016_0801_Day to Sincok.pdf	8/1/2016	Email - August 1, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
174	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716-CAD.docx	8/1/2016	Email - August 1, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincok at EPA. Subject: RE: Indian Creek Reconsideration v6. <u>Attachment:</u> Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716-CAD.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
175	Email_2016_0805_Sincok to MacKnight.pdf	8/5/2016	Email - August 5, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, C. Day, H. Drago, K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
176	Diatom BCG 2016.pdf	8/5/2016	Email - August 5, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, C. Day, H. Drago, K. Bentley, M. Barath, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint. <u>Attachment</u> : Diatom BCG 2016.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	No	Citation provided in References on Page 25 of Second Reconsideration: Hausmann, S., D.F. Charles, J. Gerritsen, and T.J. Belton. 2016. "A diatom-based biological condition gradient (BCG) approach for assessing impairment and developing nutrient criteria for streams." Science of the Total Environment 562:914-927.
177	Email_2016_0807_Bentley to Sincok.pdf	8/7/2016	Email - August 7, 2016 from K. Bentley at EPA to J. Sincok, E. MacKnight, C. Day, H. Drago, C. Atkinson, M. Barath, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
178	Email_2016_0808_Drago to Sincok.pdf	8/8/2016	Email - August 8, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincok, K. Bentley, E. MacKnight, C. Day, C. Atkinson, M. Barath, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
179	Email_2016_0808_Barath to Sincok.pdf	8/8/2016	Email - August 8, 2016 from M. Barath at EPA to J. Sincok, E. MacKnight, C. Day, H. Drago, K. Bentley, W. Richardson, and A. Toy at EPA. Subject: RE: Another line of evidence for nutrient endpoint.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
180	Email_2016_0812_Sincok to MacKnight.pdf	8/12/2016	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
181	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v7_081216.docx	8/12/2016	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v7_081216.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
182	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration DR_Sig.docx	8/12/2016	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration DR_Sig.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 2	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
183	Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	8/12/2016	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7. <u>Attachment</u> : Attachment A - Chronology_052716.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 3	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
184	Attachment B - Indian Creek Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	8/12/2016	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7. <u>Attachment</u> : Attachment B - Indian Creek Precipitation Data_070716.pdf	Email Attachment	Attachment 4	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
185	Attachment C - Monitoring Data_081216.docx	8/12/2016	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7. <u>Attachment</u> : Attachment C - Monitoring Data_081216.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 5	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
186	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716-combined edits BR KB CAD_mjs.docx	8/12/2016	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v6_070716-combined edits BR KB CAD_mjs.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 6	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
187	Email_2016_0812_Sincok to Richardson	8/12/2016	Email - August 12, 2016 from J. Sincok at EPA to W. Richardson at EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
188	Email_2016_0816_Sincock to Drago.pdf	8/16/2016	Email - August 16, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to H. Drago at EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
189	Email_2016_0816_Drago to Sincock.pdf	8/16/2016	Email - August 16, 2016 from H. Drago at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
190	Email_2016_0818_Sincock to Scalia.pdf	8/18/2016	Email - August 18, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to K. Scalia. W. Richardson, E. MacKnight and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Second Reconsideration for the Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL - Request for Briefing with Jon.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
191	Email_2016_0819_Richardson to Sincock.pdf	8/19/2016	Email - August 19, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
192	Email_2016_0824_Richardson to Sincock.pdf	8/24/2016	Email - August 24, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, E. MacKnight, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
193	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v7_081216 BR.docx	8/24/2016	Email - August 24, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, E. MacKnight, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7. <u>Attachment</u> : Indian Creek Second Reconsideration_draft v7_081216 BR.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
194	Email_2016_0824_Day to Richardson.pdf	8/24/2016	Email - August 24, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to W. Richardson and J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Reconsideration Version 7.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
195	Email_2016_0824_Sincock to MacKnight.pdf	8/24/2016	Email - August 24, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration - draft briefing paper for review.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
196	Second Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_Briefing Paper.docx	8/24/2016	Email - August 24, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to E. MacKnight, W. Richardson and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration - draft briefing paper for review. <u>Attachment</u> : Second Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_Briefing Paper.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
197	Email_2016_0824_Day to Sincock.pdf	8/24/2016	Email - August 24, 2016 from C. Day at EPA to J. Sincock. Subject: Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration - draft briefing paper for review.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
198	Indian Creek Second Reconsideration DR_AM.docx	8/25/2016	Action Memo - Second Reconsideration Decision and Rationale – Nutrient Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Indian Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania, Established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on June 30, 2008.	Action Memo		Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
199	Email_2016_0825_Richardson to Sincock.pdf	8/25/2016	Email - August 25, 2016 from W. Richardson at EPA to J. Sincock, E. MacKnight, C. Day, and H. Drago at EPA. Subject: RE: Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration - draft briefing paper for review.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
200	Email_2016_0831_Sincock to Capacasa.pdf	8/31/2016	Email - August 31, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to J. Capacasa, K. Scalia, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Briefing Paper for Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
201	Second Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_Briefing Paper.docx	8/31/2016	Email - August 31, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to J. Capacasa, K. Scalia, W. Richardson, E. MacKnight, and C. Day at EPA. Subject: Briefing Paper for Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration. <u>Attachment</u> : Second Indian Creek TMDL Reconsideration_Briefing Paper.docx	Email Attachment	Attachment 1	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	

#	File Name	Date	Reference	Description	Notes	Exempt?	Notes
202	Email_2016_0831_Sincock to Ides.pdf	8/31/2016	Email - August 31, 2016 from J. Sincock at EPA to N. Ides at EPA. Subject: RE: Salient/Upcoming Decisions?	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	
203	Email_2016_0831_Capacasa to Sincock.pdf	8/31/2016	Email - August 31, 2016 from J. Capacasa at EPA to J. Sincock at EPA. Subject: RE: Briefing Paper for Second Indian Creek Nutrient TMDL Reconsideration.	Email Correspondence	Primary	Yes, this document is an intra-agency record containing deliberations and/or conclusions which are predecisional and is therefore exempt from disclosure pursuant to Exemption 5.	